

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal
Election Study



*Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS*

GLES-Panel

Wave 15 (february/march 2021)

ZA 6838

*Documentation of Questionnaire
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviors during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections. It is a longitudinal survey of the same individuals of currently two different samples. Sample A, “2017 Election Campaign”, consists of respondents from the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017, whereas Sample B, “2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section”, includes those respondents from the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES (ZA6802) who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. While respondents from Sample A have been interviewed up to fourteen times since the beginning of the data collection in autumn 2016, Wave 10 of the GLES Panel has been the first re-interview for respondents from Sample B. In addition, individuals recruited in profile wave a2 2020 were re-surveyed for the first time in wave 15 (sample A4). In the following, you will find some general information about the data sets and the documentation of the questionnaire of wave 15. From wave 13 onwards, both samples are surveyed solely in CAWI mode.

Please note:

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Please do not cite before the data are released in the [data catalogue](#) of GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. Information on data releases can be found on the [GLES website](#) or by signing up for the [GLES newsletter](#).

As a public service to the international academic community, we provide English translations of GLES datasets, questionnaires, and related important documents. Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the GLES staff via gles@gesis.org.

Studiennummer	ZA6838
Title	GLES Panel 2016-2020, Wave 15
Current Version	not yet published
doi	not yet published
Citation	not yet published
Number of observations	not yet collected. A sample size of up to 23.236 realized interviews is aspired.
Number of variables	Not yet collected. The questionnaire consists of 183 items.

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

Date of Collection	Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
	Welle 15	A4	2021-02-25 – 2021-##-##

Content	Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality
Data release	The publication of the data is planned for the end of the second quarter of 2021.

Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
Universe	[Sample A1-A3] German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections. [Sample A4] German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections.
Selection	[Sample A] Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education.
Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A] Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Data access

Terms of access	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching; Category A. Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org .
-----------------	---

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
Postfach 122155
68072 Mannheim
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Verification**Variables:** kp15_2291; kp15_2290; kp15_2293s**Waves:** 1-15,a1, a2**Topic:** Verification**Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions". In the first wave, there should be a highly visible direction on the left hand side above the "forward"-button. "Please check carefully whether all of your answers are correct. You can continue the following surveys only if you give the exact same answers!"

Question kp15_2291:

In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (0) Please select
- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

 (-95) not participated

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1900 and 2000 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp15_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp15_2293s:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Variables: kp15_2291_c2; kp15_2290_c2; kp15_2293s_c2

Waves: 1-15,a2

Topic: Verification

Items: Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter: kp1_2291!=kp15_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp15_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp15_2293s

Presentation: Single response list (vertical)

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen.

Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.

Instructions:

"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

Presentation:

Closed response list

Question kp15_2291_c2:

What month were you born in?

Instructions:

Please select

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (0) Please select
- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with two numerical digits

Question kp15_2290_c2:

Please enter the year you were born in.

19__

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp15_2293s_c2:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

—

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp15_4280	Topic: Verification	Item: Reason for false verification code
Waves: 1-15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: (kp15_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2291!=kp15_2291_c2 | (kp1_2290!=kp15_2290_c2) | (kp1_2293s!=kp15_2293s_c2))

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed

Coding:

- (1) not participated
- (2) typing error
- (3) same answer

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: These verification variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp15_2280	Topic: Soziodemographie	Item: Gender
Waves: 1-15, a1, a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Presentation:

must answer; single response list (vertical); plausibility check: "Please state your gender."

Question:

Please state your gender.

- male
- female

Coding:

- (1) male
- (2) female

Variable: kp15_2601	Topic: Sociodemography	Item: Federal state
Waves: 1,[2],a1,9-15,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

Question:

And in which federal state do you live?

Instructions:

If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:

- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (2) Hamburg
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (4) Bremen
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (6) Hesse
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (8) Baden-Württemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (10) Saarland
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (16) Thuringia

 (-95) not participated

General attitudes towards politics**Variable:** kp15_010**Waves:** 1-15,a1,a2**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Samples:** A**Item:** Interest in politics, in general**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_011a-c**Waves:** 10-15**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Samples:** A**Item:** Interest in politics,
election levels**Filter:****Presentation:**

Matrix

Question:

And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?

- (A) Federal level
- (B) State level: *'display federal state from kp15_2601'*
- (C) European level

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_170

Waves: 1-7,9-15,a1,a2

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Intention to vote

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you will go to the polls?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_190a, b	Topic: Vote decision	Item: Vote intention: first/second vote
Waves: 1-7,9-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_170=1-3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote

Intro:

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question How will you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don't know yet

(B) Second vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don't know yet (in wave 9: Don't know)

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp15_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp15_190aa/kp15_190ab and kp15_190ba/kp15_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kp15_192a	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Vote intention: first vote, other party
Waves: 1-7,9-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_190a=801**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And who will you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_190a were recoded into the variables kp15_190aa and kp15_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_192b

Waves: 1-7,9-15,a1,a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Voting decision

Samples: A

Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party

Filter: kp15_190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party will you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_190b were recoded into the variables kp15_190ba and kp15_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_730	Topic: Party ratings in general	Item: Scalometer government
Waves: 1-8,10-15,a1,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD?

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_731a-c	Topic: Party ratings in general	Item: Scalometer governing parties
Waves: 1-8,10-15,a1,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_780	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Personal economic situation, current
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-15,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

Question:

How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_770	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Responsibility for personal economic Situation
Waves: 5,6,8,10-15,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_780=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-97) not applicable
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_790

Waves: a2,14,15

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Personal economic situation, future

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Question:

What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
 - (2) Improved somewhat
 - (3) Remained the same
 - (4) Deteriorated somewhat
 - (5) Deteriorated considerably
-

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_820	Topic: Valence issues	Item: General economic situation, current
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-15,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_810	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Responsibility for general economic situation
Waves: 5,6,8,10-15,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_820=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

- (-98) don't know
-

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-97) not applicable
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_830

Waves: 14,15,a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Valence issues

Sample: A

Item: General economic situation, future

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

- Improved considerably
- Improved somewhat
- Remained the same
- Deteriorated somewhat
- Deteriorated considerably

Coding:

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_020

Waves: 1,5,8-10,12,14,15,a2 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics

Item: Satisfaction with Democracy

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_160a,b,j,k,o,p,q

Waves: 2,8-10,12,14,15,a1 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics

Item: Confidence in institutions

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Let's talk about the following public institutions.

Question:

Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about ...

- (A) the Bundestag?
- (B) the Federal Constitutional Court?
- (J) the German armed forces?
- (K) the trade unions?
- (O) the banks?
- (P) the media?
- (Q) the police?

Coding:

- (1) I do not trust at all
- (2) I rather do not trust
- (3) neither trust nor distrust
- (4) I rather trust
- (5) I fully trust

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_050c,g,j,	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Principles of democracy
Waves: 2,9,10,12,14,15,a1	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here are some common statements on politics and society.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (C) In general, each democratic party should have the chance to assume government responsibilities.
- (G) Everybody should have the right to defend his own view even if the majority dissents on that aspect.
- (J) A democracy will not work without a political opposition.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_060b,d,e,i,j,l	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Extremism/ Authoritarianism
Waves: 2,9,13-15,a1	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question on screen 1:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

- (E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.
- (L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.
- (I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
- (B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
- (D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

The item (L) was taken from the GESIS Panel.

Variable: kp15_5021

Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14,15,a2 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics

Item: Difference parties

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question:

Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:

- (1) 1 no differences at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 major differences

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_5020	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Difference government
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14,15,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		
Filter:		
Presentation: Standard matrix		
Question: Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?		
Coding: (1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power. (2) 2 (3) 3 (4) 4 (5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.		

(-93) not asked, terminated (-95) not participated (-99) no answer		

Variable: kp15_2250	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Fairness social order
Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,a1	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		
Filter:		
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)		
Question: Let's talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going? - very just - just - neither just nor unjust - unjust - very unjust		
Coding: (1) very just (2) just (3) neither just nor unjust (4) unjust (5) very unjust		

(-93) not asked, terminated (-95) not participated (-99) no answer		

Variable: kp15_2270

Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,a1

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Predispositions

Sample: A

Item: Fairness, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not?

- a lot less than the fair share
- a bit less than the fair share
- the fair share
- a bit more than the fair share
- a lot more than the fair share

Coding:

- (1) a lot more than the fair share
- (2) a bit more than the fair share
- (3) the fair share
- (4) a bit less than the fair share
- (5) a lot less than the fair share

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Party ratings in general

Variable: kp15_430a-f,i

Waves: 1-15, a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Party ratings in general

Samples: A

Item: Scalometer parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
 (2) -4
 (3) -3
 (4) -2
 (5) -1
 (6) 0
 (7) +1
 (8) +2
 (9) +3
 (10) +4
 (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Valence issues

Variable: kp15_840s	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Most important problem
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Intro:

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_850	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the most important problem
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_840s!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party
- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_851 were recoded into the variables kp15_850a and kp15_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_851	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter: kp15_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit) –
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_850 were recoded into the variables kp15_850a and kp15_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_860s	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Second most important problem
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_870	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_860s != -97 | kp15_860s != -99

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party
- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_871 were recoded into the variables kp15_870a and kp1_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_871	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter: kp14_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)

- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG
 (351) Bündnis C
 (349) DiB
 (151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAEHLER
 (331) LKR
 (206) NPD
 (209) ödp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (225) REP
 (237) Tierschutzpartei
 (801) other party

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp14_870 were recoded into the variables kp14_870a and kp14_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_4046

Wellen: 15

Modi: CAWI

Topic: Corona Crisis

Samples: A

Item: Corona: Government

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Intro:

And now a few questions about the corona crisis.

Question:

How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in combatting the corona crisis?

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied
 (2) -4
 (3) -3
 (4) -2
 (5) -1
 (6) 0
 (7) +1
 (8) +2
 (9) +3
 (10) +4
 (11) +5 completely satisfied

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This question was taken from the GLES Tracking (T48)].

Variable: kp15_4045a-e

Waves: 13-15,a2

Topic: Corona Crisis

Item: Corona measures

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Do you think that in the following respects the federal government has taken too few or too many measures to combat the corona crisis?

- (A) Ensuring medical care
- (B) Restricting public life, e.g., closing shops and public facilities
- (C) Reducing economic damage
- (D) Communicating with the population
- (E) Vaccination of the population

Coding:

- (1) too few
- (2) rather too few
- (3) just right
- (4) rather too many
- (5) too many

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

[Note: The question is an adaptation from the GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in Germany from 17 to 29 March 2020.]

Variable: kp15_4043a-d**Waves:** 13-15**Topic:** Corona Crisis**Item:** Infection**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Multiple selection one below the other; Plausibility check cannot be ignored if "No" is selected with one of the other answer options: The answer "No" cannot be chosen together with other answer options. Please correct your answer."

Question:

Have you or people close to you become infected with coronavirus? Please click on all applicable answer options.

- (a) No.
- (b) Yes, I have become infected.
- (c) Yes, people in my immediate family have become infected.
- (d) Yes, people in my immediate circle of friends have become infected.

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_4044**Waves:** 13-15**Topic:** Corona Crisis**Item:** Financial losses**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Did you personally suffer financial losses in the Corona crisis?

- (1) No.
- (2) Yes, to a small degree.
- (3) Yes, to a substantial degree.
- (4) yes, to an extent threatening my existence

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2880t,ba,bb,bc	Topic: Political positions	Item: Ego positions
Waves: 1-11,13-15,a2	Topic: Political positions	Item: Ego positions
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Now we come to your assessment of political issues. You may agree more or less with the following statements.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BC) Health protection must take priority over promoting economic growth.

(T) The state should save companies with many jobs from insolvency.

(BA) The state should take on more debt.

(BB) In emergency situations, it is justified for the state to restrict civil liberties.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_050a,e,h,k,l; kp15_2880be	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Efficacy and duty to vote
Waves: 1,5,8,9,14,15	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Efficacy and duty to vote
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.

(E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.

(H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.

(K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.

(BE) To make sure that this survey is being filled out by a human, please click here on 'disagree'.

(L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Candidates**Variable:** kp15_650a,s,v,h2,l1,d2,e2,b1,x1,o1**Waves:** 1-15,a1,a2**Topic:** Candidates**Item:** Skalometer politicians**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Screen 1: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

Screen 2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:

- (A) Angela Merkel
- (S) Christian Lindner
- (V) Katja Kipping
- (H2) Alice Weidel

Screen 2:

- (L1) Robert Habeck
- (E2) Saskia Esken
- (B1) Armin Laschet
- (X1) Friedrich Merz
- (O1) Olaf Scholz

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

political positions

Variable: kp15_1490a-f,i	Topic: Political positions	Item: Left-right assessment, parties
Waves: 2,4,7,12,14,15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with numerical label; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:

Where would you place the following parties on this scale?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)

(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]

(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1500

Waves: 1-4,6,7,10-15,a1

Topic: Political positions

Item: Left-right self-assessment

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Question:

Where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1270a-f,i	Topic: Political positions	Item: Climate change, parties
Waves: 2,4,7,10,13-15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; only labels at the endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What do you think are the positions of these political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-98) don't know

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1290

Waves: 1-2,4,7,8,10-15,a1

Topic: Political positions

Item: Climate change, ego

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

And what is your opinion on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

-

-

-

-

- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1070a-f,i	Topic: Political positions	Item: Socio-economic dimension, parties
Waves: 2,4,7,10,13-15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1090

Waves: 1-4,6-8,10-15,a1

Topic: Political positions

Item: Socio-economic dimension, ego

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Question:

What is your opinion on taxes and social services?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services

-

-

-

-

-

- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

(1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1110a-f,i

Waves: 2,4,7,10, 11

,14,15

Topic: Political positions

Item: Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, parties

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier" and "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)

(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]

(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1130

Waves: 1-4,6-8,10,14,15,a1 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier

-

-

-

-

-

- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2880ak,h,p,d	Topic: Political positions	Item: Ego positions
Waves: 1,3,13-15,a2	Topic: Political positions	
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find various statements that some people agree with, but others disagree with.

Question:

How about you? Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(AK) A tax should be imposed on the emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂).

(H) State powers in the fight against crime should be extended, even though this will lead to increased surveillance of citizens.

(P) Today's problems are so complex that they can no longer be solved by politics.

(D) The state should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2880g,o,r,j	Topic: Political positions	Item: Ego positions
Waves: 1-8,13-15,a2	Topic: Political positions	
Modi: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(G) The state should stay out of the economy.

(O) The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.

(R) Germany should provide financial support for EU member states experiencing great economic and financial difficulties.

(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1591a-f,i

Waves: 15

Topic: Political positions

Item: Position issue: Gender equality, parties

Modi: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; 7-point scale without numerical labelling

Labels at the endpoints: "state equality measures still do not go far enough", "state equality measures already go much too far"

Question:

Now we would like to know what you think about state measures for the equality of women in society. Some think that state equality measures by no means go far enough, others think that they already go much too far today.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 State equality measures by no means go far enough.
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 State equality measures already go much too far.

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1590

Waves: 15

Topic: Political positions

Item: Position issue: Gender equality, ego

Modi: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; 7-point scale without numerical labelling

Labels at the endpoints: "state equality measures still do not go far enough", "state equality measures already go much too far"

Question:

What is your opinion on state measures for the equality of women in society?

- State equality measures by no means go far enough.

-

-

-

-

-

- State equality measures already go much too far.

Coding:

(1) 1 State equality measures by no means go far enough.

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 State equality measures already go much too far.

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variables: kp15_1100; kp15_1140; kp15_1300; kp15_1160		
Waves: 2,4,7,8,10,15	Topic: Political positions	Item: Socio-economic dimension, importance; Libertarian- authoritarian dimension, importance; Climate change, importance; Gender equality, importance
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; label from left "not important at all" to right "very important"

Question:

And how important are these issues to you personally?

(1100) social services and taxes

(1140) immigration of foreigners

(1300) economic growth and fight against climate change

(1160) state measures for the equality of women in society

Coding:

(1) very important

(2) somewhat important

(3) in between

(4) not very important

(5) not important at all

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1411		
Waves: 1-2,4,6-8,10,13-15,a1,a2	Topic: Political positions	Item: Security and privacy, ego
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference" and "against strong state interference"

Question:

Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions in the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- in favour of strong state interference

-

-

-

-

-

- against strong state interference

Coding:

- (1) in favour of strong state interference
 (2)
 (3)
 (4)
 (5)
 (6)
 (7) against strong state interference

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1210

Waves: 1-2,4,7,8,10,13-15,a1,a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Political positions

Sample: A

Item: Integration, ego

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"

Question:

There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
-
-
-
-
-
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:

- (1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
 (2)
 (3)
 (4)
 (5)
 (6)
 (7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_1250	Topic: Political positions	Item: European integration, ego
Waves: 1,6-8,10,13-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of European unification.

Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:

- (1) European unification should be pushed further
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) European unification has already gone too far

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_650j1,k1,f2,g2,y1	Topic: Candidates	Item: Skalometer politicians
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Here, you see some leading politicians once again. Please state what you think of these politicians.

- (J1) Alexander Gauland
- (K1) Markus Söder
- (F2) Annalena Baerbock
- (G2) Dietmar Bartsch
- (Y1) Jens Spahn

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_3103a-h

Waves: 5,8,9,13-15,a2

Mode: CAWI

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Samples: A

Item: Populism

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Screen 1: Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

Screen 2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Screen 1:

- (A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
- (B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
- (C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
- (D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Screen 2:

- (E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.
- (F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
- (G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
- (H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2880v,ag,ah,ai,al,ao

Waves: 3,8,13-15

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

We will show you one last time various statements that some people agree with, but others disagree with.

Question Screen1:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.

(AO) The European Union should do more to harmonise living conditions between EU countries.

(AL) The European Union should do more to protect its external borders.

Question Screen2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(AG) The global integration of markets should be pushed forward further.

(AH) Germany should restrict the import of goods from other countries.

(AI) Ausländische Unternehmen sollten in Deutschland uneingeschränkt investieren dürfen.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Predispositions

Variable: kp15_1572**Waves:** 1,13-15,a1,a2**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** Personality**Item:** Willingness to take risks**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "risk averse", "very willing to take risks"

Question:

How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks or do you try to avoid taking risks?

Coding:

(1) risk averse

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

(10)

(11) very willing to take risks

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2090**Waves:** 1-15,a1,a2**Mode:** CAWI**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Party identification**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- CDU/CSU

- CDU

- CSU

- SPD

- FDP

- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

- Die Linke

- AfD

- other party

- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(808) no party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_2091 were recoded into the variables kp15_2090a and kp15_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_2091	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Party identification, other party
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR (Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG
 (351) Bündnis C
 (349) DiB
 (151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAEHLER
 (331) Liberal-Konservative Reformer [im Datensatz gelabelt als „LKR“]
 (206) NPD
 (209) ödp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (225) REP
 (237) Tierschutzpartei
 (801) other party

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_2090 were recoded into the variables kp15_2090a and kp15_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_2100

Waves: 1-15,a1,a2

Topic: Predisposition

Item: Party identification, strength

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter: kp15_2090>0 & <=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

(1) very strongly
 (2) fairly strongly
 (3) moderately
 (4) fairly weakly
 (5) very weakly

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2095	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2	Samples: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp15_2090 anymore. If kp15_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp15_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 at kp15_2150 anymore.

Presentation:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- no other party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(808) no other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp15_2096 were recoded into the variables kp15_2095a and kp15_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_2096	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification, other party
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter: kp15_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR (Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Codierung

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) Liberal-Konservative Reformer [im Datensatz gelabelt als „LKR“]
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp15_2095 were recoded into the variables kp15_2095a and kp15_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp15_2101	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification, strength
Waves: 1-15,a1,a2		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter: kp15_2095=1-801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

State election in Baden-Wuerttemberg**Variable:** kp15_3380**Waves:** 15

Wuerttemberg

Modi: CAWI**Topic:** State election Baden Wuerttemberg**Item:** Intention to vote, Baden-**Samples:** A**Filter:** kp15_2601 = 8**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When state elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

How likely is it that you will vote in the state election in Baden-Wuerttemberg on 14 March?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_3390

Waves: 15 **Topic:** State election Baden Wuerttemberg **Item:**Vote intention, Baden-Wuerttemberg

Modi: CAWI **Samples:** A

Filter: kp15_ kp15_3380=1 | kp15_ kp15_3380=2 | kp15_ kp15_3380=3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Baden-Wuerttemberg with one vote; display "state election in Baden-Wuerttemberg " in bold and red

Intro:

You have one vote in the state election in Baden-Wuerttemberg.

Question:

How will you mark your ballot in this state election?

- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)-
- Die Linke
- Other party

- don't know yet

Coding:

(6) GRÜNE

(1) CDU

(322) AfD

(4) SPD

(5) FDP

(7) DIE LINKE

(801) other party

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_3392

Waves: 15 **Topic:** State election Baden Wuerttemberg **Item:** Vote intention, Baden-Wuerttemberg, other party

Modi: CAWI **Samples:** A

Filter: kp15_3390=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And who will you vote for in this state election?

- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Freie Wähler
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- KlimalisteBW (Klimaliste Baden-Württemberg)
- W2020 (Partei WIR2020)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- andere Partei

Coding:

- (209) ödp
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (180) FREIE WÄHLER
- (372) dieBasis
- (368) KlimalisteBW
- (371) W2020
- (364) Volt
- (801) Other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

State election in Rhineland-Palatinate
Variable: kp15_3400**Waves:** 15**Topic:** State election Rhineland-Palatinate**Item:** Intention to vote,
Rhineland-Palatinate**Modi:** CAWI**Samples:** A**Filter:** kp15_2601 = 7**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When state elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

How likely is it that you will vote in the state election in Rhineland-Palatinate on 14 March?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_3402a,b

Waves: 15 **Topic:** State election Rhineland-Palatinate **Item:** Vote intention, Rhineland-Palatinate, first/second vote

Modi: CAWI **Samples:** A

Filter: kp15_3400=1 | kp15_3400=2 | kp15_3400=3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Rhineland-Palatinate with first and second vote; display "state election in Lower Saxony" in bold and red

Intro:

You have two votes in the state election in Rhineland-Palatinate. The first vote is for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote is for a candidate in your local constituency.

Question:

How will you mark your ballot in this state election?

(A) First vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- Other party

- don't know yet

(B) Second vote:

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- Other party

- don't know yet

Coding:

- (4) SPD
- (1) CDU/CSU
- (322) AfD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (801) andere Partei

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_3404a	Waves: 15	Topic: State election Rhineland-Palatinate	Item: Vote intention, Rhineland-Palatinate, first vote, other party
Modi: CAWI	Samples: A		

Filter: kp15_3402a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate will you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- Freie Wähler Rheinland-Pfalz
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Klimaliste RLP e.V.
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- VOLT Deutschland

- don't know yet

Coding:

- (180) FREIE WÄHLER Rheinland-Pfalz
- (215) PIRATEN
- (209) ödp
- (369) KlimalisteRLP
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (364) Volt

(-98) don't know

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_3404b

Waves: 15 **Topic:** State election Rhineland-Palatinate **Item:** Vote intention, Rhineland-Palatinate, second vote, other party

Modi: CAWI

Samples: A

Filter: kp15_3402b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party will you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- Freie Wähler Rheinland-Pfalz
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Klimaliste RLP e.V.
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- VOLT Deutschland

Coding:

(180) FREIE WÄHLER Rheinland-Pfalz

(215) PIRATEN

(209) ödp

(369) KlimalisteRLP

(151) Die PARTEI

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(364) Volt

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp15_2520	Topic: Sociodemography	Item: German citizenship
Waves: 2,[3],15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter wave 3: Dependent Interviewing, only for respondents from Sample A4 and respondents who did not provide an answer here in Wave 2 or 3, or who terminated the interview before this question.

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

You almost reached the end of the survey. In the following, there will be just some short questions about you.

Question:

Have you been a German citizen since birth?

- yes

- no

Coding:

(1) yes

(2) no

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable (in wave 3)
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. This variable was renamed into kpx_2520, because of being invariant in time. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kp2_2520flag.]

Variable: kp15_2571a,b	Topic: Sociodemography	Item: Country of birth, mother/father
Waves: 2,[3],15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter wave 3: Dependent Interviewing, only for respondents from Sample A4 and respondents who did not provide an answer here in Wave 2 or 3, or who terminated the interview before this question.

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Were your parents born in the territory of present-day Germany?

A) mother

B) father

- yes

- no

- don't know

Coding:

(1) yes

(2) no

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: These are dependent interviewing variables. The information from the variables kp2_2571a, kp3_2571a and kp15_2571a has been summarized in kpx_2571a and kp2_2571a, kp3_2571a, and kp15_2571a were deleted afterwards. Similarly, the information from the variables kp2_2571b, kp3_2571b, and kp15_2571b has been summarized in kpx_2571b and kp2_2571b, kp3_2571b, and kp15_2571b were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variables kpx_2571aflag and kpx_2571bflag.]

Variable: kp15_2572a**Waves:** 2,[3],15**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Country of birth, mother, other country**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A**Filter:** kp15_2571a=2**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Where was your mother born?

- former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- Turkey
- Italy
- Poland
- Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- Greece
- Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- Austria
- Slovakia, Czech Republic
- Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- Netherlands
- Belgium
- France
- Switzerland
- USA
- other country
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- (2) Turkey
- (3) Italy
- (4) Poland
- (5) Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- (6) Greece
- (7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- (8) Austria
- (9) Slovakia, Czech Republic
- (10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- (11) Netherlands
- (12) Belgium
- (13) France
- (14) Switzerland
- (15) USA
- (16) other country

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_2572a, kp3_2572a, and kp15_2572a has been summarized in kpx_2572a and kp2_2572a, kp3_2572a, and kp15_2572a were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_2572aflag.]

Variable: kp15_2572b

Waves: 2,[3],15

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Country of birth, father, other country

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter: kp15_2571b=2

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Where was your father born?

- former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- Turkey
- Italy
- Poland
- Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- Greece
- Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- Austria
- Slovakia, Czech Republic
- Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- Netherlands
- Belgium
- France
- Switzerland
- USA
- other country

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- (2) Turkey
- (3) Italy
- (4) Poland
- (5) Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- (6) Greece
- (7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- (8) Austria
- (9) Slovakia, Czech Republic
- (10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- (11) Netherlands
- (12) Belgium
- (13) France
- (14) Switzerland
- (15) USA
- (16) other country

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_2572b, kp3_2572b, and kp15_2572b has been summarized in kpx_2572b and kp2_2572b, kp3_2572b, and kp15_2572b were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_2572bflag.]

Variable: kp15_4131a-q	Topic: Sociodemography	Item: Language spoken in household
Waves: 2,[3],15	Sample: A	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp15_2571a=2 | kp15_2571b=2 | kp15_2520=2

Presentation:

Multiple answers are possible.

Question:

What language do you usually speak in your household?

Instructions:

If you usually speak more than one language in your household, click on all applicable languages.

- German

- Turkish
- Italian
- Polish
- Serbian
- Greek
- Croatian
- Bosnian
- Danish
- Dutch
- French
- Czech
- Vietnamese
- English
- Russian
- Arabic
- other language

Coding:

- (A) German
- (B) Turkish
- (C) Italian
- (D) Polish
- (E) Serbian
- (F) Greek
- (G) Croatian
- (H) Bosnian
- (I) Danish
- (J) Dutch
- (K) French
- (L) Czech
- (M) Vietnamese
- (N) English
- (O) Russian
- (P) Arabic
- (Q) other language

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_4131 and kp3_4131 has been summarized in kpx_4131 and kp2_4131 and kp3_4131 were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_4131flag.]

Quality of Data

Variable: kp15_4240**Waves:** 1-15,a1,a2**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Rating of survey**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-93) Not asked, terminated

(-95) Not participated

(-99) No answer

Variable: kp15_4270s**Waves:** 1-15,a1,a2**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Comment, open**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, now maximum limit of characters

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Coding:-----
(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer