

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

**German Longitudinal
Election Study**



*Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS*

GLES Panel

Wave 13 (spring 2020)

ZA 6838

*Documentation of Questionnaire
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviors during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections. It is a longitudinal survey of the same individuals of currently two different samples. Sample A, "2017 Election Campaign", consists of respondents from the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017, whereas Sample B, "2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section", includes those respondents from the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES (ZA6802) who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. While respondents from Sample A have been interviewed up to nine times since the beginning of the data collection in autumn 2016, Wave 10 of the GLES Panel has been the first re-interview for respondents from Sample B.

In the following, you will find some general information about the data sets and the documentation of the questionnaire of wave 13. From this wave onwards, both samples are surveyed solely in CAWI mode.

Please note:

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Please do not cite before the data are released in the [data catalogue](#) of GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. Information on data releases can be found on the [GLES website](#) or by signing up for the [GLES newsletter](#).

As a public service to the international academic community, we provide English translations of GLES datasets, questionnaires, and related important documents. Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the GLES staff via gles@gesis.org.

Study No.	ZA6838
Title	GLES Panel 2016-2019, Waves 1-13
Current Version	not yet published
doi	not yet published
Citation	not yet published
Number of observations	not yet collected. In Sample A, approximately 15.000 people were invited for the survey, in Sample B, 2.528 people were invited for the survey
Number of variables	Not yet collected. The questionnaire consists of 132 items.

Basic Information

Funding Agency GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

Data Collector GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

Date of Collection	Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
	Wave 13	A	2020-04-21 – 2020-05-06
		B	2020-04-21 – 2020-06-02

Content Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality, Corona crisis

Methodology

Geographic Coverage Germany (DE)

Universe [Sample A]
All German citizens with internet access who were eligible to vote in the 2017 election to the German Bundestag.
[Sample B]
All people with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the survey was being conducted.

Selection [Sample A]
Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education.
[Sample B]
Respondents of the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section who gave their willingness to participate in the re-interviews of the GLES Panel. For the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section respondents were selected by random sampling on the basis of local population registers with an oversampling of population in East Germany.

Mode of Data Collection [Stichprobe A]
Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)
[Stichprobe B]
Recruitment with computer-assisted personal interviews (CAPI), Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Data access

User regulations	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching with an offsite user contract: access category C. Please contact gles@gesis.org to gain access.
Anonymized data	Some collected variables are not accessible with a data use agreement due to data protection reason. All variables can be accessed by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested in those variables, please send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org .

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
Postfach 122155
68072 Mannheim
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Verification**Variables:** kp13_2280; kp13_2291; kp13_2290; kp13_2293s**Waves:** 1-13,a1**Topic:** Verification**Items:** Gender; Month of birth; Year of

birth; Location of birth

Mode: CAWI**Sample:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

must answer: the following four questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions". In the first wave, there should be a highly visible direction on the left hand side above the "forward"-button. "Please check carefully whether all of your answers are correct. You can continue the following surveys only if you give the exact same answers!"

Question kp13_2280:

Please state your gender.

- male
- female

Coding:

- (1) male
- (2) female

(-95) not participated**Presentation:**

Closed response list

Question kp13_2291:

In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (0) Please select
- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June

- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

(-95) not participated

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1900 and 2000 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp13_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp13_2293s:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Variables: kp13_2280_c2; kp13_2291_c2; kp13_2290_c2; kp13_2293s_c2

Waves: 1-10 **Topic:** Verification **Items:** Gender; Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth

Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A

Filter: kp1_2280!=kp13_2280 | kp1_2291!=kp1_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp13_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp13_2293s

Presentation: Single response list (vertical)

must answer: the following four questions are displayed on one screen.

Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.

Instructions:

"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

Question kp13_2280_c2:

Please state your gender.

- male
- female

Coding:

- (1) male
- (2) female

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Closed response list

Question kp13_2291_c2:

What month were you born in?

Instructions:

Please select

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

- (0) Please select
- (1) January
- (2) February
- (3) March
- (4) April
- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with two numerical digits

Question kp13_2290_c2:

Please enter the year you were born in.

19__

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable

Presentation:

Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp13_2293s_c2:

Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:

If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

—

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp13_4280

Waves: 1-13

Topic: Verification

Item: Reason for false verification code

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A

Filter: (kp13_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp13_2280!=kp9_2280_c2
kp13_2291!=kp9_2291_c2|kp13_2290!=kp13_2290_c2)

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed

Coding:

- (1) not participated
- (2) typing error
- (3) same answer

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: These verification variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp13_2601

Waves: 1,[2],a1,9-13

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Federal state

Sample: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

Question:

And in which federal state do you live?

Instructions:

If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:

- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (2) Hamburg
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (4) Bremen
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (6) Hesse
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (8) Baden-Württemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (10) Saarland
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (16) Thuringia

(-95) not participated

General attitudes towards politics
Variable: kp13_010**Waves:** 1-13,a1
in general**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Interest in politics,**Mode:** CAWI **Sample:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_011a-c**Wave:** 10-13
election levels**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Interest in politics,**Mode:** CAWI **Sample:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Matrix

Question:

And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?

- (A) Federal level
- (B) State level: ``display federal state from kp13_2601``
- (C) European level

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_170	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Intention to vote
Waves: 1-7,9-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A/B	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you would go to the polls?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_190a,b

Waves: 1-7,9-13,a1

Topic: Vote decision

Item: Vote intention: first/second vote

Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A/B

Filter: kp13_170=1-3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote

Intro:

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question:

How would you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don't know yet

(B) Second vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don't know yet (in wave 9: Don't know)

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

- (-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp13_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp13_190aa/kp13_190ab and kp13_190ba/kp13_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kp13_192a	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Vote intention: first vote, other party
Waves: 1-7,9-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A/B	

Filter: kp13_190a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And who would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_190a were recoded into the variables kp13_190aa and kp13_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_192b	Topic: Voting decision	Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party
Waves: 1-7,9-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A/B	

Filter: kp13_190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_190b were recoded into the variables kp13_190ba and kp13_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_730	Topic: Party ratings in general	Item: Scalometer government
Waves: 1-8,10-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A/B	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD?

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_731a-c	Topic: Party ratings in general	Item: Scalometer governing parties
Waves: 1-8,10-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A/B	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_911**Waves:** 6-8,12,13**Topic:** Strategic voting**Item:** Participation of AfD in a coalition**Mode:** CAWI **Sample:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

Question:

Regardless of how likely a coalition with the AfD is, how desirable would you find AfD's participation in the federal government?

Coding:

- (1) -5 not desirable at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 very desirable

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_924	Topic: Strategic voting	Item: Likelihood of AfD participation in the government
Waves: 13		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Now, a question about the possible composition of the federal government after the next federal election.

Question:

How likely do you think it is that the AfD will be part of the federal government?

Coding:

- (1) definitely not
- (2) probably not
- (3) maybe
- (4) probably
- (5) definitely

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_780	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Personal economic situation, current
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-13		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

Question:

How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_770	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Responsibility for personal economic situation
Waves: 5,6,8,10-13		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter: kp13_780=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_820	Topic: Valence issues	Item: General economic situation, current
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-13		
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A/B	

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_810**Waves:** 1,3,5,6,8,10-13
economic situation**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Responsibility for general**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A/B**Filter:** kp13_820=1-5**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

- (-98) don't know

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_060b,d,e,i,j,**Waves:** 2,a1,9,13**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics**Item:** Extremism/
Authoritarianism**Mode:** CAWI**Sample:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Question on screen 1:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

(E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.

(L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.

(I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.

(B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.

(D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

The item (L) was taken from the GESIS Panel.

Party ratings in general

Variable: kp13_430a-f,i

Waves: 1-13

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer parties

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Valence issues**Variable:** kp13_840s**Waves:** 1-13,a1**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Most important problem**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Intro:

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_850**Waves:** 1-9,a1**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A/B**Filter:** kp13_840s!=-99**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)

- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

- Die Linke

- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

- other party

- all parties equally good

- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party
- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_851 were recoded into the variables kp13_850a and kp13_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_851	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the most important
Waves: 1-9,a1 problem, other party	Samples: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: kp13_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit) –
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG
 (351) Bündnis C
 (349) DiB
 (151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAEHLER
 (331) LKR
 (206) NPD
 (209) ödp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (225) REP
 (237) Tierschutzpartei
 (801) other party

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_850 were recoded into the variables kp13_850a and kp13_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_860s

Waves: 1-13,a1

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Valence issues

Sample: A/B

Item: Second most important problem

Filter: kp13_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_870	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem
Waves: 1-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter: kp13_860s != -97 | kp13_860s != -99
Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party
- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_871 were recoded into the variables kp13_870a and kp13_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_871	Topic: Valence issues	Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
Waves: 1-13,a1	Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B

Filter: kp13_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)

- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland

- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)

- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz

- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

- Freie Wähler (FW)

- LKR – Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer

- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)

- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)

- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)

- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)

- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG

(351) Bündnis C

(349) DiB

(151) Die PARTEI

(323) DIE RECHTE

(171) FAMILIE

(180) FREIE WAEHLER

(331) LKR

(206) NPD

(209) ödp

(215) PIRATEN

(225) REP

(237) Tierschutzpartei

(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_870 were recoded into the variables kp13_870a and kp13_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_4045a-d

Waves: 13

Topic: Corona Crisis

Item: Corona measures

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

There now follow some questions about coronavirus, which is currently spreading in Germany and other parts of the world.

Question:

Do you think that in the following respects the federal government has taken too few or too many measures to combat the corona crisis?

- (A) Ensuring medical care
- (B) Restricting public life, e.g., closing shops and public facilities
- (C) Reducing economic damage
- (D) Communicating with the population

Coding:

- (1) too few
- (2) rather too few
- (3) just right
- (4) rather too many
- (5) too many

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

[Note: The question is an adaptation from the GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in Germany from 17 to 29 March 2020.]

Variable: kp13_4043

Waves: 13

Topic: Corona Crisis

Item: Infection

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Multiple selection one below the other; Plausibility check cannot be ignored if "No" is selected with one of the other answer options: The answer "No" cannot be chosen together with other answer options. Please correct your answer."

Question:

Have you or people close to you become infected with coronavirus? Please click on all applicable answer options.

- No.
- Yes, I have become infected.
- Yes, people in my immediate family have become infected.
- Yes, people in my immediate circle of friends have become infected.

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_4044

Waves:

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Corona Crisis

Samples: A/B

Item: Financial losses

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Did you personally suffer financial losses in the Corona crisis?

- No.

- Yes, to a small degree.

- Yes, to a substantial degree.

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2880t,ba,bb

Waves: 1-12

Mode: CAWI

Topic: Politische Positionen

Samples: A/B

Item: Ego-Positionen

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Now we come to your assessment of political issues. You may agree more or less with the following statements.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BC) Health protection must take priority over promoting economic growth.

(T) The state should save companies with many jobs from insolvency.

(BA) The state should take on more debt.

(BB) In emergency situations, it is justified for the state to restrict civil liberties.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_050a,e,h,k,l,q	Topic: General attitudes towards politics	Item: Efficacy and duty to vote
Waves: 1,5,8,9	Sample: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
- (E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
- (H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
- (K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
- (Q) Please choose "agree" for testing the functioning of the questionnaire.
- (L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Candidates

Variable: kp13_650a,c,s,v,y,l1,d2,e2	Topic: Candidates	Item: Scalometer politicians
Waves: 1-13,a1	Samples: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of" - category is settled out a bit

Question:

Screen 1: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

Screen 2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:

- (A) Angela Merkel
- (S) Christian Lindner
- (V) Katja Kipping
- (D2) Norbert Walter-Borjans

Screen 2:

- (Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
- (C) Horst Seehofer
- (L1) Robert Habeck
- (E2) Saskia Esken

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Political positions		
Variable: kp13_1500	Topic: Political positions	Item: Left-right self-assessment
Waves: 1-4,a1,6,7,10-13	Sample: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Intro:

In politics people often talk about "left" and "right".

Question:

Where would you place yourself?

- 1 left

- 2

- 3

- 4

- 5

- 6

- 7

- 8

- 9

- 10

- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1270a-f,i	Topic: Political positions	Item: Climate change, parties
Waves: 2,4,7,10,13	Sample: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; only labels at the endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What do you think are the positions of these political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-98) don't know

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1290

Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7,8,10-13

Topic: Political positions

Item: Climate change, ego

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

And what is your personal view on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

-

-

-

-

- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1287

Waves: 12,13

Topic: Politische Positionen

Item: Climate change, efforts of the Federal Government

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Question:

How do you assess the efforts made by Federal Government to combat climate change?

Coding:

(1) far too low

(2) slightly too low

(3) just right

(4) slightly to high

(5) much too high

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1070a-f,i	Topic: Political positions	Item: Socio-economic dimension, parties
Waves: 2,4,7,10,13	Sample: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- (B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-98) don't know

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1090

Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-13

Topic: Political positions

Item: Socio-economic dimension, ego

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Question:

What is your personal view on taxes and social services?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1130

Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10,13

Topic: Political positions

Item: Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your personal view on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2880ak,h,p,ap
Waves: 1-13
Mode: CAWI
Topic: Political positions
Samples: A/B
Item: Ego positions

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find various statements that some people agree with, but others disagree with.

Question:

How about you? Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(AK) A tax should be introduced on the emission of carbon dioxide (CO2).

(H) State powers in the fight against crime should be extended, even though this will lead to increased surveillance of citizens.

(P) Today's problems are so complex that they can no longer be solved by politics.

(AP) In order to limit the power of corporations, expropriations should also be carried out.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2880g,o,r,j

Waves: 1-12

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Modi: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(G) The state should stay out of the economy.

(O) The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.

(R) Germany should provide financial support for EU member states experiencing great economic and financial difficulties.

(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1411

Waves: 1-2,4,a1,6-8,10,13

Topic: Political positions

Item: Security and privacy, ego

Mode: CAWI

Sample: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference" and "against strong state interference"

Question:

Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions in the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- in favour of strong state interference

-

-

-

-

-

- against strong state interference

Coding:

- (1) in favour of strong state interference
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) against strong state interference

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1210

Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7-8,10,13	Topic: Political positions
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Item: Integration, ego

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"

Question:

There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture

-

-

-

-

-

- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:

- (1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1250	Topic: Political positions	Item: European integration, ego
Waves: 1,a1,6-8,10,13		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of European unification.

Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your personal view on this issue?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:

- (1) European unification should be pushed further
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) European unification has already gone too far

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_650w,b1,j1,k1, n1,o1, x1, y1,f2,g2	Topic: Candidates	Item: Scalometer politicians
Waves: 1-13,a1	Samples: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Screen1: Here, you see some leading politicians once again. Please state what you think of these politicians.

Screen2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:

- (N1) Annegret Kramp-Karrenbauer
- (O1) Olaf Scholz
- (B1) Armin Laschet
- (W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
- (X1) Friedrich Merz

Screen 2:

(J1) Alexander Gauland
 (K1) Markus Söder
 (F2) Annalena Baerbock
 (G2) Dietmar Bartsch
 (Y1) Jens Spahn

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
 (2) -4
 (3) -3
 (4) -2
 (5) -1
 (6) 0
 (7) +1
 (8) +2
 (9) +3
 (10) +4
 (11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_3103a-h

Waves: 5,8,9,13

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Populism

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Screen 1: Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

Screen 2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Screen 1:

- (A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
- (B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
- (C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
- (D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Screen 2:

- (E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.
- (F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
- (G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
- (H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2880v,ao,al

Waves: 1-11	Topic: Political positions	Item: Ego positions
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

We will show you one last time various statements that some people agree with, but others disagree with.

Question:

Screen1: Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.

(AO) The European Union should do more to harmonise living conditions between EU countries.

(AL) The European Union should do more to protect its external borders.

Screen2: And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(AG) The global integration of markets should be pushed forward further.

(AH) Germany should restrict the import of goods from other countries.

(AI) Ausländische Unternehmen sollten in Deutschland uneingeschränkt investieren dürfen.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Predispositions

Variable: kp13_1572

Waves: 1,a1,13

Topic: Personality

Item: Willingness to take risks
--

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "risk averse", "very willing to take risks"
--

Question:

How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks or do you try to avoid taking risks?
--

Coding:

(1) risk averse

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

(10)

(11) very willing to take risks

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2090

Waves: 1-13,a1

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Party identification

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- CDU/CSU

- CDU

- CSU

- SPD

- FDP

- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

- Die Linke

- AfD

- other party

- no other party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(808) no other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_2091 were recoded into the variables kp13_2090a and kp13_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_2091

Waves: 1-13,a1

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Party identification, other party

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter: kp13_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR (Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG
 (351) Bündnis C
 (349) DiB
 (151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAEHLER
 (331) Liberal-Konservative Reformer [im Datensatz gelabelt als „LKR“]
 (206) NPD
 (209) ödp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (225) REP
 (237) Tierschutzpartei
 (801) other party

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_2090 were recoded into the variables kp13_2090a and kp13_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_2100

Waves: 1-13,a1

Topic: Predisposition

Item: Party identification, strength

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter: kp13_2090>0 & <=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
 - fairly strongly
 - moderately
 - fairly weakly
 - very weakly

Coding:

(1) very strongly
 (2) fairly strongly
 (3) moderately
 (4) fairly weakly
 (5) very weakly

 (-93) not asked, terminated
 (-95) not participated
 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2121**Waves:** 13**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Party identification, inquiries 1**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A/B**Filter:** kp13_2090>0 & <=801**Presentation**

Intro: As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they are attached to a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with others.

Question: You have just indicated that you also lean towards the party "[placeholder from kp13_2095/ kp13_2096 - short form of the party name]". Please state, which of the following opinions applies to you the most.

- (A) This party is very important to me. What happens to this party is not a matter of indifference to me.
- (B) The party does not mean that much to me, but its politicians are currently better than those of other parties.
- (C) I don't feel particularly attached to this party, but I did vote for it frequently in the past.
- (D) The party is not all important to me, but it currently pursues better policies than other parties.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2095

Waves: 1-13,a1

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Multiple party identification

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter: kp13_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp13_2090 anymore. If kp13_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp13_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 at kp13_2150 anymore.

Presentation:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- no other party

Coding:

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(4) SPD

(5) FDP

(6) GRÜNE

(7) DIE LINKE

(322) AfD

(801) other party

(808) no other party

 (-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp13_2096 were recoded into the variables kp13_2095a and kp13_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_2096	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification, other party
Waves: 1-13,a1		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter: kp13_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE – Partei für Volksabstimmung, Souveränität und Heimatschutz
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- LKR (Bernd Lucke und die Liberal-Konservativen Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Codierung

- (120) BIG
- (351) Bündnis C
- (349) DiB
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) Liberal-Konservative Reformer [im Datensatz gelabelt als „LKR“]
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp13_2095 were recoded into the variables kp13_2095a and kp13_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp13_2101	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification,
Waves: 1-13,a1		
strength		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter: kp13_2095=1-801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_2122	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Party identification, inquiries 2
Waves: 13		
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A/B	

Filter: kp13_2095>0 & <=801

Presentation

Intro: Here, too, we would like to ask once again.

Question: You have just indicated that you also lean towards the party "[placeholder from kp13_2095/kp13_2096 - short form of the party name]". Please indicate in each case to what extent the following statements apply or do not apply to you.

- (A) This party is very important to me. What happens to this party is not a matter of indifference to me.
- (B) The party does not mean that much to me, but its politicians are currently better than those of other parties.
- (C) I don't feel particularly attached to this party, but I did vote for it frequently in the past.
- (D) The party is not all important to me, but it currently pursues better policies than other parties.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1632**Waves:** 13**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Private Internet use, frequency**Mode:** CAWI**Samples:** A/B**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How often do you use the Internet for private purposes, regardless of whether you are using a computer, laptop, tablet computer or smartphone?

- several times a day
- daily
- several times a week
- once a week or less
- never

Coding:

- (1) several times a day
- (2) daily
- (3) several times a week
- (4) once a week or less
- (5) never

-
- (-93) not asked, terminated
 - (-95) not participated
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp13_1633	Topic: Media use	Item: Private Internet usage, duration
Waves: 13	Samples: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:

kp13_1632==1|kp13_1632==2

Presentation:

two open text fields next to each other, input limited to two numeric characters; plausibility check: only numbers allowed, note text: "Please enter only whole numbers",

Plausibility check (can be ignored, no must answer!) Text if no information is given "Please mail an information. If you are unsure, please estimate."

Question:

Roughly how long do you use the Internet for private purposes on an average day?
Please enter the time in hours and minutes.

hours: __ minutes: __

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Panel management

Variable: kp13_33a	Topic: Panel management	Item: Entry of e-mail address
Waves: 10-13	Sample: B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter: via subscriber variables if e-mail address exists

Presentation:

Single selection among each other with open text field. Plausibility check E-mail address must contain "@" sign, in addition, "@" must be followed by "a" at any distance. follow. Ignorable plausible text: "The e-mail address you entered is incorrect. Please check your entry." Ignoreable DAC: "Your entry is very important to us. Please answer this question."

Question:

We have sent you the link to this survey to the e-mail address you provided last time. You can change this e-mail address here or enter it again to confirm it.

Instructions:

If you have not yet received an e-mail from us, please re-enter your email address here to ensure that we have it.

Of course your e-mail address will be stored separately from your previous entries in the survey.

- My e-mail address is: _____

- I do not wish to re-enter or change my e-mail address.

Variable: kp13_33b	Topic: Panel management	Item: Entry of e-mail address
Waves: 10-13	Sample: B	
Modus: CAWI		

Filter: via subscriber variables, if no e-mail address is available

Presentation: Single selection among each other with open text field. Plausibility check E-mail address must contain "@" signs, in addition a "." must follow after "@" with any distance. Ignorable plausibility check text: "The e-mail address you entered is incorrect. Please check your input." Ignorable DAC: "Your input is very important for us. Please answer this question."

Question:

To make it even easier for you to participate in the German Election Study in the future, we would like to ask you to provide your e-mail address. You will then receive an e-mail notification with a link that will take you directly to our survey.

Instructions:

Of course your e-mail address will be stored separately from your previous entries in the survey.

- My e-mail address is: _____

- I do not have an e-mail address.

- I don't want to enter an e-mail address.

Quality of Data

Variable: kp13_4240	Topic: Quality of Data	Item: Rating of survey
Waves: 1-13,a1	Samples: A/B	
Mode: CAWI		

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good

- good

- neither good nor bad

- bad

- very bad

Coding:

(1) very good

(2) good

(3) neither good nor bad

(4) bad

(5) very bad

(-93) Not asked, terminated

(-95) Not participated

(-99) No answer

Variable: kp13_4270s

Waves: 1-13,a1

Topic: Quality of Data

Item: Comment, open

Mode: CAWI

Samples: A/B

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, now maximum limit of characters

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Instructions [Sample B]:

Please do not enter any contact details in this field. For data protection reasons, we cannot answer you personally. If you have any questions or would like to change your contact details, please call 0621-1246-441 or send an e-mail to wahlstudie@gesis.org.

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer